

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/822,295		JALLAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anne Holleran		1642	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Anne Holleran.

(2) Eve Frank.

**Date of Interview:** 13 August 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e)

Claims discussed:

12, 27-31, 33 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Cheng (U.S. Patent 6,238,902)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants' representative authorized examiner's amendment to the claims. Prosecution on the merits is closed. Claims 12, 23-28 and 31, 33 and 34 are allowable*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** after-final, allowed claims

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)